

Cells Can Feel Their Environment

Paper 18 · Editorial concept paper · Created 15 October 2026

Abstract. Cells detect substrate stiffness, shear force and matrix organization through mechanotransduction. These signals alter gene expression and phenotype, offering a route by which altered tissue architecture becomes a biological cause rather than a passive consequence.

Chemistry is not the only language of a tissue

A cell encounters growth factors and metabolites, but it also experiences tension, confinement, flow and the resistance of its surroundings. Integrins and associated complexes connect extracellular matrix to the cytoskeleton. Actomyosin contraction probes mechanical resistance, and transcriptional regulators such as YAP/TAZ respond to combinations of physical and biochemical inputs. Tissue mechanics can therefore influence proliferation, differentiation, migration and survival.

An experimental route from material to behavior

In culture, the same cell type can behave differently on substrates of different stiffness. Work on fibrotic lung tissue shows increased YAP/TAZ activity within stiff regions and altered fibroblast activity when those pathways are manipulated in experimental conditions. This is direct evidence that mechanics can shape cellular programs. It does not follow that mechanical inputs explain all epigenetic or metabolic abnormalities.

Why this matters to chronic disease

Fibrosis can make tissue stiff; increased stiffness can reinforce fibroblast activation. Tumor cells and immune cells also interact with varied mechanical environments. Distorted matrix may compress small vessels or interfere with repair. Such loops link anatomy and molecular signaling across scales. The contribution of this paper is to show precisely how 'environment' can mean something measurable, rather than a nonspecific collection of presumed toxins or stresses.

Important limits of the model

Not every organ responds identically to stiffness. Cells integrate many inputs, and different pathways dominate in different tissues. A local mechanical abnormality does not prove a systemic disease mechanism. Furthermore, an observed correlation between stiffness and adverse outcomes could reflect accumulated tissue damage rather than a modifiable driving signal.

A discriminating prediction

If mechanics actively maintains a disease, restoring normal matrix mechanical properties—while leaving other inputs similar—should alter key cell behaviors or tissue function. Experimental perturbation strengthens causal inference more than comparing soft and scarred patient tissues. Human translation should privilege validated treatments and avoid promising tissue 'reprogramming' from untested external modalities.

Mechanobiology gives form to the word environment

In many discussions of terrain, 'environment' is used loosely to mean diet, chemicals, stress or microbiota. Matrix mechanics is different because the relevant conditions can be defined in physical units and manipulated in experiments. Substrate stiffness, stretch, shear and tissue tension can be measured, and cells can be studied under controlled conditions. The framework thereby produces potentially falsifiable hypotheses rather than merely asserting that surroundings influence health.

Chemical and mechanical signals interact

A stiffened matrix may change the cellular response to a growth factor; inflammatory cytokines can change cytoskeletal organization; changes in blood flow can influence endothelial behavior. These reciprocal relationships help explain why targeting one biochemical node sometimes produces variable outcomes. Yet complexity should not be used as an excuse for vague causal claims. Which molecule, which physical force, which cell and which outcome remain the necessary questions.

A tissue-specific clinical implication

Research on fibrotic lung or tumor stroma can inform mechanistic thinking, but human therapeutic translation remains uncertain. A patient with back pain should not be told that their extracellular matrix is mechanically 'programmed' without a defensible diagnosis. The important promise is improved disease models and perhaps better treatments, not a new universal somatic diagnosis.

Selected scientific reading

1. [Liu F et al. Mechanosignaling through YAP and TAZ drives fibroblast activation and fibrosis. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2015.](#)
2. [Dupont S. Role of YAP/TAZ in cell-matrix adhesion-mediated signalling and mechanotransduction. Exp Cell Res. 2016;343:42–53.](#)

Editorial status: Conceptual scientific essay, not peer reviewed. Not original clinical data, a treatment protocol or medical advice. Selected readings are not a systematic review. Evidence and interpretation should be assessed independently.