

# Ketones, Mitochondria and the Psychiatric Brain

ABSURD HEALTH INSTITUTE · 02 · Metabolic neuropsychiatry and neurological clearance · 15 OCTOBER 2026

---

## Abstract

Ketone bodies become more available during carbohydrate restriction and fasting and can serve as substrates for cerebral energy metabolism. This paper asks when altered fuel availability might influence psychiatric outcomes, without assuming that ketosis is a universal neurological reset. The distinction between biochemical plausibility and patient-centered effectiveness is particularly important in a field already vulnerable to dramatic anecdotal claims.

## The Clinical Question and Its Context

Beta-hydroxybutyrate and acetoacetate are generated by hepatic metabolism and can enter the brain through monocarboxylate transporters. Their use varies with age, metabolic state and adaptation. In specific neurological disorders, ketogenic treatment has established indications—for example, certain drug-resistant epilepsies—but its psychiatric applications remain under investigation. Possible mechanisms span energy availability, excitability, inflammation and neurotransmitter balance rather than one simple “dopamine restoration” pathway.

## Proposed Biological or Explanatory Model

A narrower thesis might concern patients with clear metabolic dysfunction or treatment-associated weight and glucose abnormalities. Other scenarios may involve changes in sleep or reduced ultra-processed food intake rather than a ketone-specific action. Any interpretation must distinguish starvation, nutritional ketosis, diabetic ketoacidosis and dietary patterns rich in saturated fat. They are not interchangeable metabolic states.

## Mechanism-Specific Interpretation

The energy-source hypothesis is attractive because brain cells are metabolically demanding. But the route from fuel availability to mood is indirect: metabolic substrate, neuronal excitability, neurotransmission, sleep and social experience all interact. Diet changes usually bundle several interventions—less refined carbohydrate, fewer processed foods, altered caloric intake and a new daily routine. Without separating these factors, improvement cannot be attributed specifically to beta-hydroxybutyrate. Moreover, the body produces ketones during states that can range from controlled nutritional therapy to dangerous metabolic derangement, so the mere presence of ketones conveys little about safety.

## Competing Explanations and Limits of Inference

The opposing case is strong: improvements during fasting can arise from placebo effects, reduced caloric intake, altered routine and simultaneous medication changes. Severe restriction can also destabilize psychiatric illness, particularly in eating disorders, bipolar-spectrum illness or medically vulnerable people. Rapid, unsupervised fasting is not a substitute for psychiatric evaluation and treatment.

## Discriminating Observations and Evidence Thresholds

A convincing outcome would distinguish short-term subjective change from sustained psychiatric function and relapse risk. Competing explanations such as improved sleep, weight loss or medication adjustment need direct consideration. A worsening episode during food restriction would also count as evidence rather than an inconvenient exception. This approach permits a meaningful exploration of metabolism without converting ketosis into a universal psychiatric prescription.

## Implications for Interpretation and Future Inquiry

The useful paper is a mechanistic map defining when fuel substitution is credible, what observations would distinguish ketone effects from diet effects and which harms require vigilance. It can be intellectually ambitious without promising psychiatric cure through deprivation.

## Selected Evidence and Further Reading

1. [Liu et al. Calorie Restriction with or without Time-Restricted Eating in Weight Loss](#)
2. [Psychiatric signs and symptoms in treatable inborn errors of metabolism](#)

Source lineage: Resurrection Biology; Absurd Fast; depression and ketogenic metabolism

Editorial note: Conceptual scientific commentary; not an original clinical trial, systematic review, peer-reviewed publication, or medical advice. Hypotheses are not established treatment effects.